Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 52182 KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC. dba Kwik Chek 32 RN104524202

Docket No. 2016-0542-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A **Media:**

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Kwik Chek 32, 1001 West Van Alstyne Parkway, Van Alstyne, Gravson County

Type of Operation:

Underground storage tank ("UST") system and a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: July 8, 2016

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$8,438

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,687 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$6,751 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - High Site/RN - High

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A **Applicable Penalty Policy:** April 2014

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: March 21, 2016

Date(s) of NOE(s): April 8, 2016

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 52182 KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC. dba Kwik Chek 32 RN104524202

Docket No. 2016-0542-PST-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to control displaced vapors by a vapor control or a vapor balance system during the transfer of gasoline from a tank-truck tank into the USTs at the Station. Specifically, vapor recovery dry breaks and pressure relief valves were not installed at the Station [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.221 and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)].
- 2. Failed to ensure that corrosion protection is provided to all underground metal components of and associated to a UST system. Specifically, the metal components on the piping under the dispensers were in contact with water and did not have corrosion protection [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(4) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Respondent implemented the following corrective measures:

- a. Installed vapor recovery dry breaks and pressure relief valves on April 5, 2016; and
- b. Installed corrosion protection for the metal components on the piping under the dispensers and tested the corrosion protection system on April 14, 2016, with passing results.

Technical Requirements:

N/A

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Keith Frank, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-1203; Michael Parrish, Enforcement Division, MC 219,

(512) 239-2548

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Doyce Taylor, Secretary, KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC., P.O. Box

220, Bonham, Texas 75418-0220 **Respondent's Attorney:** N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014 Assigned 11-Apr-2016 PCW 19-Apr-2016 Screening 14-Apr-2016 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC. dba Kwik Chek 32 Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN104524202 Facility/Site Region 4-Dallas/Fort Worth Major/Minor Source Major **CASE INFORMATION** Enf./Case ID No. 52182 No. of Violations 2 Docket No. 2016-0542-PST-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit No Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$11,250 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** -10.0% Adjustment Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 -\$1,125 Reduction for high performer classification. Notes Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0 Notes The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 -\$1,687 **Economic Benefit** Subtotal 6 \$0 0.0% Enhancement* Total EB Amounts *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Estimated Cost of Compliance SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 \$8,438 Final Subtotal OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE \$0 0.0% Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Notes Final Penalty Amount \$8,438 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty \$8,438 DEFERRAL 20.0% -\$1,687 Reduction Adjustment Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage Deferral offered for expedited settlement. Notes

\$6,751

PAYABLE PENALTY

PCW

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Respondent KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC. dba Kwik Chek 32 **Case ID No.** 52182

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104524202

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank

Compliance History Worksheet

_	omponent	ory <i>Site</i> Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Number	Adjust
NOVs		Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	. 0	0%
L		Other written NOVs	0	0%
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
	Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
		Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	o crici	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
		Adjustment Perc	entage (Su	btotal 2)
ер	eat Violator	(Subtotal 3)		
L	No	Adjustment Perc	entage (Su	btotal 3)
om	ipliance Hist	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
	High Perf	ormer Adjustment Perc	entage (Su	btotal 7)
om	ıpliance Hist	ory Summary		
	Compliance History Notes	Reduction for high performer classification.		

Screening Da	e 14-Apr-2016 Docket No. 2016-0542-PST-E nt KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC. dba Kwik Chek 32	PCW Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID N Reg. Ent. Reference N	5. 52182	PCW Revision March 26, 2014
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Violation Numb Rule Cite(
Kule Cite(30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.221 and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)
Violation Descripti	Failed to control displaced vapors by a vapor control or a vapor balance syst during the transfer of gasoline from a tank-truck tank into the undergroun storage tanks ("USTs") at the Station. Specifically, vapor recovery dry breaks pressure relief valves were not installed at the Station.	d
	Base Per	nalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Prop	erty and Human Health Matrix Harm	
Relea OR Act	se Major Moderate Minor	
Potent		
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsificatio		
	Percent 0.0%	
	Ith or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants th d levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a resul the violation.	
	Adjustment \$2:	1,250
		\$3,750
Violation Events		
Number	of Violation Events 1 15 Number of violation days	
	daily weekly	
	monthly quarterly x Violation Base Per	nalty \$3,750
	semiannual annual	ναιτή φ3/1/30
	single event	
One quart	erly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the Ma 21, 2016 investigation to the April 5, 2016 date of compliance.	irch
Good Faith Efforts to Co		ction \$937
	Extraordinary	
	Ordinary x N/A	
	The Respondent came into compliance on April 5, 2016, Notes prior to the Notice of Enforcement ("NOE") dated April 8, 2016.	
	Violation Sub	total \$2,813
Economic Benefit (EB) f	or this violation Statutory Limit Tes	t
Estin	ated EB Amount \$2 Violation Final Penalty 1	Fotal \$2,438
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lin	nits) \$2,438

Respondent Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.	KWIK CHEK FO	CONOMIC DOD STORES, INC			rksheet				
	1edia Petroleum Storage Tank						Percent Interest Years of Depreciation		
						5.0	15		
Item Description		Date Required		Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount		
Delayed Costs									
Equipment	\$694	21-Mar-2016	5-Apr-2016	0.04	\$0	\$2	\$2		
Buildings	- 3054	1 21 1101 2010	J ADI EVIO	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Other (as needed)		1		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0		
Engineering/Construction		1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0		
Record Keeping System		1		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0		
Training/Sampling		1		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0		
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0		
Permit Costs		1		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0		
Other (as needed)		l —		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0		
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs		the inve	stigation date.	Final D	ate is the date of	es as per invoice. De compliance.			
Disposal		T T		0.00	\$0	\$0 T	\$0		
Personnel		1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Financial Assurance [2]		1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0		
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Notes for AVOIDED costs									
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$694			TOTAL		\$2		

Respondent KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC. dba Kwik Chek 32 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RI104524202 Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank Violation Number Violation Number Violation Description Falled to ensure that corrosion protection is provided to all underground metal components of an associated to a UST system. Specifically, the metal components on the piping under the dispensers were in contact with water and did not have corrosion protection. **Sentimental** Percent** Note: Release Major Moderate Minor Percent** Note: Release Major Moderate Minor Percent** Note: Release Major Moderate Minor Percent** Note: Number of Violation Events Number of		Date 14-Apr-2016		Docket No. 2016-	0542-PST-E	PCW
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RNI104324202 Media [Statutar] Betroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Seth Frank Violation Number 2 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(4) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d) Falled to ensure that corrosion protection is provided to all underground metal components on the piping under the dispersion protection. **Seth Frank Violation Description** Violation Description** **Programmatic, Property and Human Health Matrix Falsification Major Minor **Programmatic Matrix Percent 30.0% **Programmatic Matrix Percent 30.0% **Programmatic Matrix Percent 30.0% **Programmatic Matrix Percent 30.0% **Natrix Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. **Violation Events** Number of Violation Events 24 Number of violation days **Violation Events** Number of Violation Events 24 Number of violation days **Violation Base Penalty \$7,500 **Violation Base Penalty \$7,500 **One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 21, 2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. **Good Faith Efforts to Comply 10.0% 1	•		OD STORES, INC. dba	Kwik Chek 32		
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Kelch Frank Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(4) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d) Failed to ensure that corrosion protection is provided to all underground metal components of and associated to a UST system. Specifically, the metal components on the piping under the dispensers were in contact with water and did not have corresion protection. **Septimization** **Programmatic Matrix** Faisfrication Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% **Programmatic Matrix* Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. **Adjustment** **Violation Events** Number of Violation Events 1 24 Number of violation days **Violation Events** **Number of Violation Events** One monthity event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 21, 2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. **Good Faith Efforts to Comply** **The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the flot dated April 8, 2016. **Violation Subtotal** **Violation Subtotal** **Violation Subtotal** **Violation Subtotal** **Violation Final Penalty Total** **Violati						PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Enf. Coordinator Keth Frank Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(4) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d) Falied to ensure that corrosion protection is provided to all underground metal components of and associated to a UST system. Specifically, the metal components on the pining under the dispenses were in contact with water and did not have corrosion protection. Base Penalty \$25,000 **Semiground on the pining under the dispenses were in contact with water and did not have corrosion protection. **Release Major Moderate Pinior **Actual Percent 30.0% **Percent 30.0% **Programmatic Matrix **Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. **Adjustment \$17,500 **Adjustment \$						
Violation Number 2 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(4) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)			age lank			
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(4) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d) Failed to ensure that corrosion protection is provided to all underground metal components of and associated to a UST system. Specifically, the metal components on the piping under the dispensers were in contact with water and did not have corrosion protection. Base Penalty \$25,000 **Percent** **Percent** **Release** **Major** **Moderate** **Major** **Moderate** **Major** **Moderate** **Moderat			1			
Violation Description Failed to ensure that corrosion protection is provided to all underground metal components on the piping under the dispensers were in contact with water and did not have corrosion protection. Base Penalty \$25,000 Seminomental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Percent 30,0% Percent 0,0% Watrix Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Situation Events Number of Violation Events 1 24 Number of violation days dataly weekly monthly expertingly services and in the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 21, 2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. Situation Events The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal 56, 250 Connomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount 514 Violation Final Penalty Total 56,000		:(-)				
Violation Description Components of and associated to a UST system. Specifically, the metal components on the piping under the dispensers were in contact with water and did not have corrosion protection. Base Penalty	Rule C	30 Tex. /	Admin. Code § 334.49	(a)(4) and Tex. Water (Code § 26.3475(d)	
Components of and associated to a UST system. Specifically, the metal components on the piping under the dispensers were in contact with water and did not have corrosion protection. Base Penalty		 				
> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential x Percent 30.0% > Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Matrix Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$17,500	Violation Descri	components of	and associated to a U g under the dispensers	ST system. Specifically were in contact with w	, the metal compor	nents ,
Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Percent 30.0% > Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Matrix Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$17,500 \$7,500 S1,500 S1,					Base Pe	nalty \$25,000
Percent 30.0% > Programmatic Matrix	> Environmental, P	roperty and Hum				
Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Matrix Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$11,500 \$7,500 \$7,500 S10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$			Moderate Mino	or		
Percent 0.0% Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$17,500 \$7,5				_		
Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$17,500	Po	tential x		Perce	int 30.0%	
Matrix Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$17,500						
Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment			Moderate Minc	nr .		
Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants that would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment	Taisme	Trajor	rioderate rime		ent 0.0%	
Notes that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment			il			
Notes that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment						.]
Adjustment \$17,500 \$7,500] Solution Events Number of Violation Events 1 24 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event				, ,		33
\$7,500	Notes tha	t are protective of hun	nan health or environn	nental receptors as a re	sult of the violation	1.
\$7,500	<u> </u>					
Mumber of Violation Events 1				Adjustm	ent \$1	7,500
Number of Violation Events						······································
Number of Violation Events 1 24 Number of violation days daily						\$7,500
Number of Violation Events 1 24 Number of violation days daily	.1.45					
daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 21, 2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. ood Paith Efforts to Comply 10.0% Before NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary X N/A X Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000	olation Events					
daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 21, 2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. ood Paith Efforts to Comply 10.0% Before NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary X N/A X Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000	Numi	ner of Violation Events	1 1	Numb	er of violation days	
weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 21, 2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. Proof Faith Efforts to Comply 10.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	Num	oci di violacion Evenes	<u></u>		er or violation days	
weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 21, 2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. Proof Faith Efforts to Comply 10.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary X N/A Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000		dally				
quarterly semiannual annual annual single event One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 21, 2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. Dod Faith Efforts to Comply 10.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary X N/A Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000		######################################				
Semiannual annual single event One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 21, 2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. Proof Faith Efforts to Comply 10.0% Reduction \$750 Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary X N/A Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000		monthly	×			
One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 21, 2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. Proof Faith Efforts to Comply 10.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000		quarterly		1	√iolation Base Pe	nalty \$7,500
One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 21, 2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. Proof Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000		semiannual				
One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 21, 2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. ood Faith Efforts to Comply 10.0% Before NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000		annual				
2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. cood Faith Efforts to Comply 10.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000		single event				
2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. cood Faith Efforts to Comply 10.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000	F					
2016 investigation to the April 14, 2016 date of compliance. cod Faith Efforts to Comply 10.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000	One mo	onthly event is recomm	nended based on docu	mentation of the violati	on during the Marc	h 21.
ood Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000						'
Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000						
Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000	and Faith Efforts to	Comply	10.0%		Dodu	(ction \$750)
Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000	oou raitii tiioits to		9	V to EDPRP/Settlement Offer		ector: 4750]
Ordinary x N/A Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000						
N/A Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000						
Notes The Respondent came into compliance on April 14, 2016, after the NOE dated April 8, 2016. Violation Subtotal \$6,750 conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000		,				
violation Subtotal \$6,750 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000		11/13				
Violation Subtotal \$6,750 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000		Notes				
Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000			after the	NOE dated April 8, 201	5.	
Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000			U			1
Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000					Violation Sub	total \$6,750
Estimated EB Amount \$14 Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,000						
	conomic Benefit (EE	3) for this violati	5n	Stat	utory Limit Tes	st
	240			441		
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$6,000	ES	oundleu EB AMOUNT	L	⊅14] Aloigt	on rinal Penalty	10tai \$0,000
30/000			This violation F	inal Assessed Penalt	v (adjusted for lir	mits) \$6.000
			-			1,243,33

Economic Benefit Worksheet Respondent KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC. dba Kwik Chek 32 Case ID No. 52182 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104524202 Percent Interest Depreciation Media Petroleum Storage Tank Violation No. 2 5.0 Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs **EB Amount Item Description Delayed Costs** \$1 \$0 Equipment \$3,000 21-Mar-2016 14-Apr-2016 0.07 \$13 \$14 Buildings 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.00 \$0 Engineering/Construction 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Land 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Record Keeping System 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Training/Sampling 0.00 \$0 \$0 n/a Remediation/Disposal 0.00 \$0 \$0 n/a Permit Costs 0.00 \$0 \$0 n/a 0.00 Other (as needed) \$0 n/a \$0 Estimated cost to install and test corrosion protection for the piping under the dispensers. Date Required Notes for DELAYED costs is the investigation date. Final Date is the date of compliance. **Avoided Costs** ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) 0.00 \$0 Disposal \$0 \$0 Personnel 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Supplies/Equipment 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.00 \$0 Notes for AVOIDED costs \$14 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$3,000 TOTAL

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN600475842, RN104524202, Rating Year 2015 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2010, through August 31, 2015.

Customer, Respondent, CN600475842, KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC.

Classification: HIGH

Rating: 0.01

or Owner/Operator: Regulated Entity:

RN104524202, Kwik Chek 32

Classification: HIGH

Rating: 0.00

Complexity Points:

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group:

14 - Other

Location:

1001 W VAN ALSTYNE PKWY VAN ALSTYNE, TX 75495-4384, GRAYSON COUNTY

TCEQ Region:

REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION

REGISTRATION 76182

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2010 to August 31, 2015

Rating Year: 2015

Rating Date: 09/01/2015

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: April 14, 2016

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: April 14, 2011 to April 14, 2016

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Keith Frank

Phone: (512) 239-1203

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES NO

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

owner(s)/operator(s)?

N/A

5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1

April 25, 2013

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits: N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs): $_{\rm N/A}$

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates: $_{\mbox{\scriptsize N/A}}$

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program: $_{\mbox{\scriptsize N/A}}$

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN \$ BEFORE THE ENFORCEMENT ACTION \$ CONCERNING \$ TEXAS COMMISSION ON DBA KWIK CHEK 32 \$ ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2016-0542-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _______, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC. dba Kwik Chek 32 (the "Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382 and Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent operates, as defined in 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.2(70), an underground storage tank ("UST") system and a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline located at 1001 West Van Alstyne Parkway in Van Alstyne, Grayson County, Texas (the "Station"). The UST system at the Station is not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission, and contains or contained a regulated petroleum substance as defined in the rules of the TCEQ. The Station consists of one or more sources as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.003(12).
- 2. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the TCEQ has jurisdiction to enter this Order pursuant to Tex. Water Code §§ 7.002, 7.051, and 7.073, and that the Respondent is subject to TCEQ's jurisdiction. The TCEQ has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382, Tex. Water Code ch. 26, and the rules of the TCEO.
- 3. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 4. An administrative penalty in the amount of \$8,438 is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent paid \$6,751 of the penalty and \$1,687 is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Order. The deferred amount shall be waived only upon full compliance with all the terms and conditions contained in this Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with any of the terms

- or requirements contained in this Order, the Executive Director may demand payment of all or part of the deferred penalty amount.
- 5. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a). Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 6. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
- 7. This Order represents the complete and fully-integrated agreement of the parties. The provisions of this Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.
- 8. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent implemented the following corrective measures at the Station:
 - a. Installed vapor recovery dry breaks and pressure relief valves on April 5, 2016; and
 - b. Installed corrosion protection for the metal components on the piping under the dispensers and tested the corrosion protection system on April 14, 2016, with passing results.

II. ALLEGATIONS

During an investigation conducted on March 21, 2016, an investigator documented that the Respondent:

- 1. Failed to control displaced vapors by a vapor control or a vapor balance system during the transfer of gasoline from a tank-truck tank into the USTs at the Station, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.221 and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b). Specifically, vapor recovery dry breaks and pressure relief valves were not installed at the Station.
- 2. Failed to ensure that corrosion protection is provided to all underground metal components of and associated to a UST system, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(4) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d). Specifically, the metal components on the piping under the dispensers were in contact with water and did not have corrosion protection.

KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC. dba Kwik Chek 32 DOCKET NO. 2016-0542-PST-E Page 3

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The Respondent is assessed a penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 4. The payment of this penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all of the requirements set forth in this Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC. dba Kwik Chek 32, Docket No. 2016-0542-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
- 3. The duties and provisions imposed by this Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Station operations referenced in this Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC. dba Kwik Chek 32 DOCKET NO. 2016-0542-PST-E Page 4

- This Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall 7. constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms: electronic transmission, owner, person, writing, and written, shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. The effective date of this Order is the date it is signed by the Commission. A copy of this fully executed Order shall be provided to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	Date
Poms Marine on	8/19/16
For the Executive Director	Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the att the attached Order, and I do agree to the terms and a cknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for on such representation.	conditions specified therein. I further
I also understand that failure to comply with the Ord and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may	lering Provisions, if any, in this order y result in:
 A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications so Referral of this case to the Attorney General's additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or Increased penalties in any future enforcement Automatic referral to the Attorney General's and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by la 	s Office for contempt, injunctive relief, to a collection agency; at actions; Office of any future enforcement actions;
In addition, any falsification of any compliance docu	ments may result in criminal prosecution.
Signature Doyce I Aulus 3 = 6	Date Secretary
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of	Title
KWIK CHEK FOOD STORES, INC. dba Kwik Chek 3	32
Instructions: Send the original, signed Order with penalty pay Revenue Operations Section at the address in Se	ment to the Financial Administration Division, ection IV, Paragraph 1 of this Order.
☐ If mailing address has changed, please check th	nis box and provide the new address below